

Relationship between silent brain infarction and rheumatic diseases

Silent brain infarction and rheumatic diseases

Atalay Dogru¹, Nihat Sengeze², Rıza Burak Oz³, Murat Bircan Tuglu³, Mustafa Kayan⁴, Mehmet Sahin⁵ ¹Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Dr. Ersin Arslan Training and Research Hospital, Gaziantep, ²Department of Neurology, Süleyman Demirel University, Faculty of Medicine, Isparta, ³Department of Internal Medicine, Süleyman Demirel University, Faculty of Medicine, Isparta, ⁴Department of Radiology, Süleyman Demirel University, Faculty of Medicine, Isparta, ⁵Department of Radiology, Süleyman Demirel University, Faculty of Medicine, Isparta, ⁵Department of Rheumatology, Süleyman Demirel University, Faculty of Medicine, Isparta, ⁵Department of Rheumatology, Süleyman Demirel University, Faculty of Medicine, Isparta, Turkey

Abstract

Aim: Silent brain infarction (SBI) is a vascular disease without any clinical symptoms that is detected in brain imaging. The diagnosis of SBI vary according to the SBI identification and imaging method used. Inflammatory diseases and treatments may cause SBI at an early age because of the increased risk of thrombosis. We aimed to determine the relationship between cranial lesions and rheumatologic disease. Material and Method: Data were obtained from the clinical files of 4560 patients who were between 20 and 60 years of age, applied to the neurology out-patient clinic between January 2013 and December 2015 and had cranial magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The Fazekas scale was used to define the load and location of the lesions. Patients over 60 years of age, younger than 20 years, with hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, previous cerebrovascular disease, white matter lesion consistent with demyelinating disease, or large vessel occlusion were excluded. Results: SBI was detected in 254 (5.5%) patients. Connective tissue disease in 13 patients, rheumatoid arthritis in 9 patients, Behçet's disease in 6 patients, anti-phospholipid syndrome in 2 patients and other rheumatic diseases in 3 patients were detected. There was no statistically significant difference between the groups with and without rheumatologic disease in terms of lesion load and localization. There was a positive correlation between age and lesion load. Discussion: Brain MRI findings alone are inadequate in diagnosing SBI without clinical findings and specific laboratory indicators for the patients.

Keywords

Magnetic Resonance Imaging; Silent Brain Infarction; Rheumatologic Diseases

DOI: 10.4328/JCAM.5832 Received: 21.03.2018 Accepted: 08.04.2018 Published Online: 09.04.2018 Printed: 01.11.2018 J Clin Anal Med 2018;9(6): 504-8 Corresponding Author: Atalay Dogru, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, Dr. Ersin Arslan Training and Research Hospital, 27010, Gaziantep, Turkey. GSM: +905063698747 F.: +90 3422210142 E-Mail: atalay_dogru@hotmail.com ORCID ID: 0000-0002-9797-1182

Introduction

Due to the better quality of imaging modalities and the increasing frequency of their use, many situations that are not detected by the clinical status of the patients are being diagnosed. Lesions incidentally detected by brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are basically classified into 3 groups as: vascular, neoplastic and non-neoplastic cystic lesions. Silent brain infarction (SBI) is a vascular disease without any clinical symptoms that is detected in brain imaging [1]. The diagnosis of SBI vary according to the SBI identification and imaging method used. In studies, the prevalence of SBI in healthy populations has been found to be 8-28%. Comorbid diseases, age, and ethnicity are factors that increase the frequency of SBI [2]. A strong correlation between SBI and stroke has been shown. At the same time, 5-year follow-up of patients with SBI has shown that cognitive function loss is two times greater compared to those with normal MRI findings. The number and location of the lesions are closely related to the loss of cognitive function and stroke. Therefore, in some studies, these clinically unrecognized lesions are defined as "occult" rather than "silent" brain infarction [3, 4].

In rheumatologic diseases, the risk of thrombosis and ischemia are greater and emerge in earlier years than in the normal population. Comorbid diseases which are known to be risk factors for ischemia and thrombosis, such as vasculitis, anti-phospholipid syndrome, and hypertension may be associated with rheumatic diseases. It is also known that inflammation causes ischemic events by increasing the risk of atherosclerosis. In rheumatic diseases, atherosclerosis occurs at an earlier age and faster than normal. This is caused by the cytokine storm that occurs in inflammation. Increased levels of serum C-reactive protein (CRP) produced in response to tumor necrosis factor (TNF) and of interleukin-6 have been shown to be independent risk factors for myocardial infarction and stroke. Besides CRP levels, TNF may activate endothelial cells and produce a procoagulant-prothrombotic state.TNF is a cytokine that plays a key role in the development of ischemic tolerance and repair of ischemia and in the onset and progression of stroke pathogenesis. Finally, drugs especially used in immunosuppressive treatments, may increase the risk of ischemia [5, 6].

In this study we aimed to determine the relationship between cranial lesions and rheumatologic disease in patients who had cranial MRI ischemic lesions without any clinical signs.

Material and Method

Our study was a hospital-based retrospective study. Data were obtained from the clinical files of 4560 patients who were between 20 and 60 years of age, applied to the neurology out-patient clinic between January 2013 and December 2015 and had a cranial MRI. Cranial images were obtained using 1.5 Tesla MR (MAGNETOM Avanto, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany). SBI was defined as 3 mm and larger lesions using T2 weighted and FLAIR images. Patients with SBI were reassessed by the same radiologist. SBI lesions were classified as periventricular, subcortical, or deep white matter lesions.

The Fazekas scale was used to define the load and location of the lesions. It was used to measure the burden of white matter T2 hyperintense lesions, mostly attributed to chronic small

vessel ischemia. A grade was given depending on the size and confluence of the lesions. Lesions were rated as O=absence, 1="caps" or pencil-thin lining, 2=smooth "halo," or 3=irregular white matter hyperintensity extending into the deep white matter [7]. Patients over 60 years of age, younger than 20 years, with hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, previous cerebrovascular disease, white matter lesion consistent with demyelinating disease, or large vessel occlusion were excluded. Serum creatinine, total cholesterol, low density lipoprotein (LDL-C), high density lipoprotein (HDL-C), triglyceride (TG), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESH), C-reactive protein (CRP), rheumatoid factor (RF), uric acid, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), hemogram, homocysteine, thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH), antinuclear antibody (ANA) and anti-phospholipid antibodies and venous blood fasting glucose level (FBG) were measured in SBI patients after 8 hours of overnight fasting. The ANA test was performed using the indirect immunofluorescence (IIF) method using the Hep-2 cells; the measurement of FBG, creatinine, ALT, LDL-C, HDL- ESH were done using the enzymatic method with the Beckman AU 5800 Autoanalyzer (Beckman Coulter Inc., USA) and ESR was measured with an automated Alifax THL1 instrument (Alifax SPA, Padua, Italy). Lupus-anticoagulant (LA), anticardiolipin (aCL) ELISA and antiβ2-glycoprotein-I ELISA tests were used for anti-phospholipid antibody detection. The clinical evaluation and laboratory testing of the patients diagnosed with SBI were done by the same rheumatologist. Ethics Committee approval for this study protocol was obtained.

The statistical analysis package program SPSS version 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Descriptive statistics were presented as frequency, percent, mean and standard deviation. The confidence interval of the study was 95%. The single-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, a nonparametric test, was used to determine whether the results of the groups fit the normal distribution. For analysis of differences between two groups of continuous variables, the Mann-Whitney U test was used when the data distribution was abnormal, and the Student t test was used when it was normal. A *P* value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

In our study, SBI was detected in 254 (5.5%) patients. Rheumatic diseases were detected in 33 patients, connective tissue disease in 13 patients, rheumatoid arthritis in 9 patients, Behçet's disease in 6 patients, anti-phospholipid syndrome in 2 patients and other rheumatic diseases in 3 patients. The mean age of the patients diagnosed with rheumatic disease was 44 \pm 10.3 years and the mean age of the group without rheumatic disease was 44.6 ± 10.3 years. There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups. There were 28 (84.8%) women in the SBI group and 140 (63.3%) women in the non-SBI group, with a significant gender difference between the two groups (p = 0.01). There was no difference between the two groups in terms of the laboratory test results. The laboratory data of the patients are presented in Table 1. When the patients were classified according to the lesion location, periventricular lesions were detected in 13 (39.4%) patients, subcortical

lesions in 16 (48.5%) patients and deep white matter lesions in 4 (12.1%) patients with rheumatic disease. Of the patients without rheumatic disease, 85 patients (38.5%) were found to have periventricular SBI, 134 (60.6%) had subcortical SBI, and 2 (0.9%) had SBI localized to deep white matter. The percentage of patients with periventricular lesions was statistically similar in patients with and without rheumatic disease. Subcortical lesions were more frequent in the group without rheumatic disease, but no statistical significance was found. Deep white matter lesions were more frequent in patients with rheumatic diseases compared to the non-rheumatic group (p = 0.003) (Table 2). When the patients were assessed by the Fazekas scale according to the amount of lesions, grade 1 disease was found in 22 (66.7%); grade 2 disease was found in 9 (27.3%); and grade 3 disease was found in 2 (6.1%) with rheumatic disease. In the group without rheumatic disease grade 1 disease was found in 117 (53.4%); grade 2 disease was found in 86 (39.3%) and grade 3 disease was found in 16 (7.3%). There was no statistically significant difference between the groups with and without rheumatologic disease in terms of lesion load (Table 3).

Table 1. Demographic and laboratory data of study groups

Parameters	Patient with rheumatic disease (n=33)	Patient without rheumatic disease (n=221)	р
Age (SD), (years)	44±10.3	44.6±10.3	NS
Female, n (%)	28 (%84.8)	140 (%63.3)	0.01
FBG, (74-106 mg/dL)	96.3±16.4	95.1±12.3	NS
Creatinine,(0.66-1.09 mg/dL)	0.8±0.1	0.9±0.1	NS
ALT, (0-34 U/L) ESR, mm/h CRP, (0-3 mg/L)	22.6±16.5 16±3.2 1.8±0.3	23±14.5 12±2.7 1.6±0.5	NS NS NS
TSH, (0.4-3.0 IU/mL)	1.7±1.0	1.6±0.9	NS
Homosistein, µmol/L	13.5 (11-15.9)*	11.0 (9.5-13.7)*	NS
Triglycerides, mg/dL	133 (91-152)*	126 (89-160)*	NS
HDL-C, mg/dL	46 (37-59)*	50 (42-57)*	NS
LDL-C, mg/dL	106 (83.5-126)	110 (88-136)*	NS

Abbreviations: FBG: Fasting blood glucose; ALT: Alanine Transaminase; ESR: Erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP: C-reactive protein; TSH: Thyroid stimulating hormone; HDL-C:High density lipoprotein; LDL-C: Low density lipoprotein

* Values are presented as median (25-75 interquartile ranges) Other values are presented as mean ± standard deviation

p < 0.05 is significant, NS: non-significant

Table 2. The localization of lesions in patients with and without rheumatic disease

Region	Patient with rheumatic disease (n=33)	Patient without rheumatic disease (n=221)	p		
Periventricular	13 (39.4%)	85 (38.5%)	NS		
Subcortical	16 (48.5%)	134 (60.6%)	NS		
Deep matter	4 (12.1%)	2 (0.9%)	0.003		

p < 0.05 is significant, NS: non-significant

Table 3. Distribution of patients according to Fazekas scale

Fazekas scale	Patient with rheumatic disease (n=33)	Patient without rheumatic disease (n=221)	р		
Grade 1	22 (66.7%)	117 (53.4%)	NS		
Grade 2	9 (27.3%)	86 (39.3%)	NS		
Grade 3	2 (6.1%)	16 (7.3%)	NS		
n < 0.05 is significant. NS: non-significant					

p < 0.05 is significant, NS: non-significant

There was a positive correlation between age and lesion load in our study. There was no relationship between other metabolic parameters (FBG, creatinine, TSH, ALT, cholesterol) and location and size of the lesion. There was a positive correlation between the size and location of the lesions (Table 4).

Discussion

In this study, there was no significant relationship between the presence of rheumatologic disease, lesion location and amount of lesions in patients with SBI. Only deep white matter lesions were found to be statistically significantly more frequent in the patients with rheumatic disease. However, the low number of patients in this group does not permit a conclusion that 'deep white matter lesions are more common in rheumatic diseases'. SBI is defined as ischemic events that do not present any clinical signs and are detected in imaging modalities. The frequency of detection of these lesions increases with the improvement of imaging modalities. Age is considered to be an important risk factor that increases the incidence of SBI. In a study conducted by Russo et al., SBI frequency was found to be 15.4% in 455 subjects with an average age of 70 [8]. Vermeer et al. detected the frequency of SBI to be 20% in their populationbased Rotterdam Scan study in which 1077 subjects with a mean age of 72 participated [9]. In studies enrolling younger participants, SBI frequency was found to be 5% [10, 11]. While a frequency range of 10-20% was determined in community samples studies, a larger frequency interval is detected in routine health screening studies [12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. In our study, the frequency of SBI was detected to be 5.5% in patients aged 20-60 years. In our study, participation of younger subjects and exclusion of significant risk factors such as hypertension and diabetes mellitus may have caused the frequency of SBI to be lower than in other studies. The frequency of SBI and rheumatic disease in our study was more common in females. SBI was more frequent in females in a Rotterdam scan study, but the difference was not statistically significant [9, 17]. Most of the studies in the literature have not identified gender differences in frequency of SBI lesions [18, 19, 20].

The pathophysiology of SBI is not clearly known. It is thought to be caused by mechanisms similar to those of cerebrovascular diseases. Endothelial dysfunction, atherosclerosis, and oxidative stress, which are common in rheumatologic diseases, are among the possible contributing mechanisms [21]. There are no studies about the frequency and location of SBI in rheumatologic diseases in the literature. In our study, SBI was seen more frequently in the subcortical area, but no significant relationship was found between rheumatic diseases and SBI. Patients with deep white matter lesions were more likely to have rheumatic disease. However, the low number of patients suggests that the statistical power is insufficient and does not constitute clinical significance. In a study of Delgado et al. that enrolled hypertensive patients, SBI lesions were detected at 28.8% in subcortical white matter and 35.6% in deep white matter regions [22]. In a study investigating the relationship between SBI and depression, SBI was found to be statistically significantly higher in basal ganglia of the patients with depression [23]. In the literature, there are similar studies about the relationship between SBI and various diseases, but there is no ischemic

Tablo 4. The correlations of metabolic parameters with grade and region of SBI									
	Age	FBG	Creatinine	TSH	ALT	LDL-C	HDL-C	TG	Region
Fazekas									
R	0.228*	0.023	0.130*	0.008	0.007	0.127	0.130	0.056	0.142*
Р	0.001	0.727	0.045	0.904	0.913	0.111	0.098	0.476	0.024
Age									
R		0.173*	0.151*	-0.064	-0.017	0.347*	0.108	0.228*	0.095
Р		0.008	0.02	0.347	0.793	0.001	0.167	0.003	0.131
FBG									
R			0.086	-0.043	0.158*	0.102	0.029	0.111	-0.002
Р			0.186	0.528	0.015	0.199	0.708	0.159	0.978
Creatinine									
R				-0.004	0.103	0.167*	-0.047	0.142	-0.097
Р				0.952	0.111	0.034	0.552	0.07	0.134
TSH									
R					0.047	0.033	-0.033	0.095	0.068
Р					0.482	0.682	0.675	0.227	0.313
ALT									
R						0.147	-0.116	0.005	-0.091
Р						0.062	0.136	0.952	0.160
LDL-C									
R							0.063	0.291*	-0.062
Р							0.426	0.001	0.432
HDL-C									
R								-0.340*	0.120
Р								0.001	0.122
TG									
R									0.057
Р									0.464

Abbreviations: SBI: silent brain infarction; FBG: Fasting blood glucose; ALT: Alanine Transaminase; TSH: Thyroid stimulating hormone; HDL-C:High density lipoprotein; LDL-C: Low density lipoprotein, TG: Triglycerides

field study involving radiological evaluation and the relationship between rheumatic diseases and SBI. In Behcet's disease, brain lesions of the patients with neurological involvement are localized in the brain stem and deep white matter [24]. In our study, Behçet's disease was detected in 2 of 4 patients with deep white matter lesions. However, periventricular ischemic lesions were detected in 3 of the 6 Behçet's disease patients participating in the study. Because of the small number of patients in this group, it was not possible to assess the relationship between the disease and the localization of SBI. In our study, the lesion burden was assessed by the Fazekas scale, which was used for chronic small vessel ischemia. Grade 1 patients were more common in both groups. Although increase in lesion load was expected in the presence of rheumatic disease, no statistically significant relation was found between rheumatic disease and lesion load. This may be due to the small number of patients. In the study of Kim et al. which examined the association between SBI and renal failure, a significant relationship was found between the level of renal failure and the number of lesions. As the level of renal failure increased, the number of lesions increased [25]. In another study of renal failure and SBI, there was a negative correlation between glomerular filtration rate and SBI number and a positive correlation between age and systolic blood pressure [26].

Similarly, in a migraine study where the Fazekas scale was

used, Grade 1 lesions were found to be more frequent in the migraine and control groups. In this study, the lesion burden was similar in the control and patient groups [27]. In another study, patients with sickle cell anemia were investigated for SBI, migraine and headache, but no relationship was detected [28]. In a study involving hypertensive patients, single SBI lesion was detected in 69% of the patients [22]. Our study has many limitations. Two of these are the facts that the study has a limited number of patients and is a cross-sectional retrospective study. Another limitation is the fact that a thrombophilia panel (Factor 2, Factor 5, MTHFR, etc.) was not performed in patients with SBI. Another limitation of this study is that we did not distinguish between rheumatic diseases, which are a heterogeneous group of diseases. Despite these limitations, the exclusion of illnesses that increase SBI risk such as diabetes, hypertension, and chronic renal failure has strengthened the study. Another advantage of our study is that the SBI lesions were evaluated by the same radiologist and the same neurologist.

In conclusion, contrary to what we expected, we found that the location and load of SBI lesions were similar in patients with and without rheumatic disease. For this reason, we think that brain MRI findings alone are inadequate in diagnosing SBI without clinical findings and specific laboratory indicators for the patients. The findings of our study should be

considered as preliminary and prospective studies involving a larger number of patients should be done.

Scientific Responsibility Statement

The authors declare that they are responsible for the article's scientific content including study design, data collection, analysis and interpretation, writing, some of the main line, or all of the preparation and scientific review of the contents and approval of the final version of the article.

Animal and human rights statement

All procedures performed in this study were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. No animal or human studies were carried out by the authors for this article.

Funding: None

Conflict of interest

None of the authors received any type of financial support that could be considered potential conflict of interest regarding the manuscript or its submission.

References

1. Vermeer SE, Longstreth WT Jr, Koudstaal PJ. Silent brain infarction: a systematic review. Lancet Neurol. 2007;6(7):611-9.

2. Fanning JP, Wong AA, Fraser JF. The epidemiology of silent brain infarction: a systematic review of population-based cohorts. BMC Med. 2014;12:119.

3. Vermeer SE, Prins ND, den Heijer T, Hofman A, Koudstaal PJ, Breteler MM. Silent brain infarcts and the risk of dementia and cognitive decline.N Engl J Med. 2003;348(13):1215-22.

4. Ritter MA, Dittrich R, Ringelstein EB. Silent brain infarcts. Nervenarzt. 2011;82(8):1043-52.

5. Tam LS, Kitas GD, Gonzalez-Gay MA. Can suppression of inflammation by anti-TNF prevent progression of subclinical atherosclerosis in inflamatory arthritis? Rheumatology (Oxford). 2014;53(6):1108-19.

6. Ogdie A, Kay McGill N, shin DB, Takeshita J, jon Love T, Noe MH, et al. Risk of venous thromboembolism in patients with psoriatic arthritis, psoriasis and rheumatoid arthritis: a general population-based cohort study. Eur Heart J. 2007. Doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehx145

7. Fazekas F, Chawluk JB, Alavi A, Hurtig HI, Zimmerman RA. MR signal abnormalities at 1.5 T in Alzheimer's dementia and normal aging. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1987;149(2):351-6.

8. Russo C, Jin Z, Liu R, Iwata S, Tugcu A, Yoshita M, et al. LA volumes and reservoir function are associated with subclinical cerebrovascular disease: the CABL (Cardiovascular Abnormalities and Brain Lesions) study. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2013; 6:313–23.

9. Vermeer SE, Koudstaal PJ, Oudkerk M, Hofman A, Breteler MM. Prevalence and risk factors of silent brain infarcts in the population-based Rotterdam Scan Study. Stroke. 2002;33:21–5.

10. Chou CC, Lien LM, Chen WH, Wu MS, Lin SM, Chiu HC, et al. Adults with late stage 3 chronic kidney disease are at high risk for prevalent silent brain infarction: a population-based study. Stroke. 2011; 42:2120–5.

11. Park K, Yasuda N, Toyonaga S, Tsubosaki E, Nakabayashi H, Shimizu K. Significant associations of metabolic syndrome and its components with silent lacunar infarction in middle aged subjects. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2008; 79:719–21.

12. Satizabal C, Zhu Y, Mazoyer B, Dufouil C, Tzourio C. Circulating IL-6 and CRP are associated with MRI findings in the elderly: The 3C-Dijon Study. Neurology. 2012; 78:720-7

13. Willey JZ, Moon YP, Paik MC, Yoshita M, DeCarli C, Sacco RL, et al. Lower prevalence of silent brain infarcts in the physically active: the Northern Manhattan Study. Neurology. 2011; 76:2112–8.

14. Das RR, Seshadri S, Beiser AS, Kelly-Hayes M, Au R, Himali JJ, et al. Prevalence and correlates of silent cerebral infarcts in the Framingham offspring study. Stroke. 2008; 39:2929–35.

15. Asumi M, Yamaguchi T, Saito K, Kodama S, Miyazawa H, Matsui H, et al. Are serum cholesterol levels associated with silent brain infarcts? The Seiryo Clinic Study. Atherosclerosis. 2010; 210:674–7.

16. Uehara T, Tabuchi M, Mori R. Risk factors for silent cerebral infarcts in subcortical white matter and basal ganglia. Stroke. 1999; 30:378–82.

17. Vermeer SE, den Heijer T, Koudstaal PJ, Oudkerk M, Hofman A, Breteler MM, Rotterdam Scan Study: Incidence and risk factors of silent brain infarcts in the population-based Rotterdam Scan Study. Stroke. 2003; 34:392–6.

18. Longstreth WT, Dulberg C, Manolio TA, Lewis MR, Baeuchamp NJ, O'Leary D, et al. Incidence, manifestations, and predictors of brain infarcts defined by serial cranial magnetic resonance imaging in the elderly: the Cardiovascular Health Study. Stroke. 2002; 33:2376–82.

19. Kohara K, Fujisawa M, Ando F, Tabara Y, Nino N, Miki T, et al. NILS-LSA Study. MTHFR gene polymorphism as a risk factor for silent brain infarcts and white matter lesions in the Japanese general population: The NILS-LSA Study. Stroke. 2003; 35(5):1130-5.

20. Price TR, Manolio TA, Kronnal RA, Kittner SJ, Yue NC, Robbins J, et al. Silent brain infarction on magnetic resonance imaging and neurological abnormalities in community-dwelling older adults. The Cardiovascular Health Study. CHS Collaborative Research Group. Stroke. 1997; 28:1158–64.

21. Fanning JP, Wesley AJ, Wong AA, Fraser JF. Emerging spectra of silent brain infarction. Stroke. 2014; 45(11) :3461-71.

22. Delgado P, Riba-Llena I, Tovar JL, Jarca CI, Mundet X, López-Rueda A, et al. Prevalence and associated factors of silent brain infarcts in a Mediterranean cohort of hypertensives. Hypertension. 2014; 64(3) :658-63.

23. Wu RH, Li Q, Tan Y, Liu XY, Huang J. Depression in silent lacunar infarction: a cross-sectional study of its association with location of silent lacunar infarction and vascular risk factors. Neurol Sci. 2014; 35(10) :1553-9.

24. Houman MH, Bellakhal S, Ben Salem T, Hamzaoui A, Braham A, Lamloum M, et al. Characteristics of neurological manifestations of Behçet's disease: a retrospective monocentric study in Tunisia. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2013; 115(10) :2015-8.

25. Kim SH, Shin DW, Yun JM, Lee JE, Lim JS, Cho BL, et al. Kidney dysfunction and silent brain infarction in generally healthy adults. J Neurol Sci. 2017; 379: 89-93.

26. Kobayashi M, Hirawa N, Yatsu K, Kobayashi Y, Yamamoto Y, Saka S, et al. Relationship between silent brain infarction and chronic kidney disease. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2009; 24(1) :201-7.

27. Gaist D, Garde E, Blaabjerg M, Nielsen HH, Krøigård T, Østergaard K, et al. Migraine with aura and risk of silent brain infarcts and white matter hyperintensities: an MRI study. Brain. 2016; 139(7) :2015-23.

28. Dowling MM, Noetzel MJ, Rodeghier MJ, Quinn CT, Hirtz DG, Ichord RN, et al. Headache and migraine in children with sickle cell disease are associated with lower hemoglobin and higher pain event rates but not silent cerebral infarction. J Pediatr. 2014; 164(5) :1175-80.

How to cite this article:

Dogru A, Sengeze N, Oz RB, Tuglu MB, Kayan M, Sahin M. Relationship between silent brain infarction and rheumatic diseases. J Clin Anal Med 2018;9(6): 504-8.